

TIRE TRACK GEOMETRY: VARIATIONS ON A THEME

BY

SERGE TABACHNIKOV*

*Department of Mathematics, Penn State University
University Park, PA 16802, USA
e-mail: tabachni@math.psu.edu*

ABSTRACT

We study closed smooth convex plane curves Γ enjoying the following property: a pair of points x, y can traverse Γ so that the distances between x and y along the curve and in the ambient plane do not change; such curves are called **bicycle curves**. Motivation for this study comes from the problem how to determine the direction of the bicycle motion by the tire tracks of the bicycle wheels; bicycle curves arise in the (rare) situation when one cannot determine which way the bicycle went.

We discuss existence and non-existence of bicycle curves, other than circles; in particular, we obtain restrictions on bicycle curves in terms of the ratio of the length of the arc xy to the perimeter length of Γ , the number and location of their vertices, etc. We also study polygonal analogs of bicycle curves, convex equilateral n -gons P whose k -diagonals all have equal lengths. For some values of n and k we prove the rigidity result that P is a regular polygon, and for some we construct flexible bicycle polygons.

1. Introduction and outline of results

The motivation for what follows comes from the question: “Which way did the bicycle go?” A bicycle leaves two tire tracks on the ground, those of the front and the rear wheels, and the problem is to determine from this pair of curves the direction of the motion. See [8] for a discussion of the problem and, in particular, a criticism of Sherlock Holmes’ approach to it in “The Priory School” mystery. See also [3, 4, 5] for various aspects of tire track geometry.

* Partially supported by an NSF grant.

Received June 3, 2004

Following [8], we use the next mathematical model for bicycle motion. The bicycle is represented by an oriented segment of fixed length, say L , whose end points are the tangency points of the rear and front wheels with the ground. In the process of motion the end points traverse smooth plane curves and the segment always remains tangent to the trajectory of its rear end point. Let $\gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ be trajectories of the rear and front wheels and let $T(t)$ denote the unit tangent vector to the curve γ . Then

$$(1) \quad \Gamma(t) = \gamma(t) + LT(t)$$

Following D. Finn [5], a pair of curves γ and Γ is called **ambiguous** if they can serve the trajectories of the rear and front bicycle wheels when traversed in the two opposite directions. Thus one cannot determine from this pair of tracks which way the bicycle went. If (γ, Γ) is an ambiguous pair and a segment of length $2L$ is tangent to γ at its midpoint, then both end points of the segment lie on Γ ; see Figure 1.¹ An obvious example of ambiguous curves is a pair of concentric circles whose radii r and R satisfy $R^2 - r^2 = L^2$.

The first problem discussed in the present paper is as follows.

PROBLEM 1: *To describe and study ambiguous pairs of closed smooth convex plane curves (γ, Γ) where Γ is convex. In particular, do there exist such ambiguous pairs, other than concentric circles?*

One can ask the above question omitting the convexity assumption on Γ ; it appears, however, that even this restricted version is quite interesting. Problem 1 can also be posed in geometries, other than Euclidean, say, in the hyperbolic plane or the sphere; one can also ask a similar question in multi-dimensional setting.

Let (γ, Γ) be an ambiguous pair of curves. We show below in Corollary 2.5 that, as the segment xy in Figure 1 moves around the curve γ , the length of the arc xy of the curve Γ remains the same. Thus Γ has the following property: a pair of points x, y can traverse the curve so that the distances between x and y along the curve and in the plane do not change. We will call such curves **bicycle curves**. The ratio of the length of the arc xy to the perimeter length of Γ is called the **rotation number** of a bicycle curve and is denoted by ρ . We

¹ Let us mention a connection to dual billiards: Γ is an invariant curve of the dual billiard map around γ ; see, e.g., [10] concerning the dual billiard problem.

always assume that the perimeter length of a bicycle curve is 2π .




Figure 1. Ambiguous pair of curves

Our second problem is as follows.

PROBLEM 2: *To describe and study closed smooth convex plane bicycle curves. In particular, what are possible values of the rotation number for non-circular smooth convex plane bicycle curves?*

Similarly to Problem 1, the questions make sense in other geometries and in multi-dimensional setups.

Problem 2 is more general than Problem 1. Let Γ be a plane bicycle curve. Then the curve γ can be recovered as the envelope of the lines xy . However, this envelope does not have to be smooth: it may have cusp singularities; see Figure 2. Such singular curves (called wave fronts) still have a well defined tangent line at every point. In fact, a bicycle can move in such a way that the tire track of the rear wheel is a wave front, as in Figure 2.

In higher dimensions, the discrepancy between Problems 1 and 2 gets greater: if Γ is a bicycle curve in, say, 3-dimensional space, then the lines xy may not be

tangent to a space curve, and the curve γ will not exist at all.




Figure 2. Inner curve is a wave front

We also consider polygonal versions of the bicycle curves. Let P be an n -gon, and let $V_1 V_2 \cdots V_n$ be its consecutive vertices. We understand the indices cyclically, so that $V_{n+1} = V_1$, etc. A k -diagonal is the segment $V_i V_{i+k}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $2 \leq k \leq n/2$. Call P a **bicycle** (n, k) -**gon** if it is equilateral, that is, all its sides are equal, and all its k diagonals are also equal to each other. An example of a bicycle (n, k) -gon is a regular n -gon. The ratio k/n will be also called the rotation number.

The third problem discussed in the paper is as follows.

PROBLEM 3: *To describe and study convex plane bicycle polygons. In particular, for which n and k are there bicycle (n, k) -gons, other than regular n -gons?*

Once again, one may ask similar questions for non-convex polygons, for polygons in multi-dimensional spaces and in geometries, other than Euclidean.

Our results are very far from definitive, and one of the main goals of this paper is to attract attention to Problems 1–3.

In Section 3, we construct examples of smooth convex plane bicycle curves with the rotation number $\rho = 1/2$. There is a functional space of such curves which, in a sense, are analogous to curves of constant width. We do not know whether there exists a non-circular smooth convex plane bicycle curve with the rotation number other than $1/2$.

Section 4 provides restrictions on a smooth convex plane bicycle curve Γ in terms of its vertices (i.e., local maxima or minima of curvature). Let the rotation

number of Γ be ρ . Then Theorem 3 states that every segment of Γ of length $2\pi\rho$ contains a vertex, and Theorem 4 asserts that the number of vertices of Γ is not less than 6. Recall that, by the celebrated 4-vertex theorem (see, e.g., [6]), every simple closed smooth plane curve has at least 4 vertices. We also give restrictions on the rotation number of a smooth convex plane bicycle curve Γ : Theorems 5 and 6 assert that if $\rho = 1/3$ or $\rho = 1/4$ then Γ is a circle.

Section 5 is devoted to infinitesimal deformations of the circle in the class of smooth convex plane bicycle curves. Theorem 7 describes an interesting mode-locking phenomenon: the unit circle has a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation as a bicycle curve with rotation number ρ if and only if

$$(2) \quad n \tan(\pi\rho) = \tan(n\pi\rho)$$

for some $n \geq 2$. In Section 6, we also describe infinitesimal deformations of the bicycle curves with the rotation number $1/2$ constructed in Section 3.

Section 7 concerns bicycle polygons. Theorem 9 gives rigidity results: convex plane bicycle $(n, 2)$ -gons, $(2n + 1, 3)$ -gons, $(2n + 1, n)$ -gons and $(3n, n)$ -gons are regular. On the other hand, Theorem 10 provides a 1-parameter family of non-regular convex bicycle $(2n, k)$ -gons where $k \leq n$ is odd.

In Section 8, we describe infinitesimal deformations of regular polygons in the class of bicycle polygons. Theorem 11 asserts that a regular n -gon admits a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation as a bicycle (n, k) -gon if and only if

$$\tan\left(kr\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \tan\left(k\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tan\left(r\frac{\pi}{n}\right)$$

for some $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$. This is an analog of equation (2).

Finally, let us mention two papers in which somewhat similar problems are discussed.

In [9], the following situation is considered. Let Γ be a closed convex plane curve such that two points x, y can traverse Γ so that the distance $|xy|$ and the angle α between xy and the tangent line $T_x\Gamma$ remain the same. The main result of [9] is that if $\alpha \neq \pi/2$ then Γ must be a circle. For $\alpha = \pi/2$, rigidity does not hold: Γ can be a curve of constant width.

The situation considered in [7] is as follows: Γ is a smooth convex closed plane curve such that two points x, y can traverse Γ so that the angles between xy and the tangent lines $T_x\Gamma$ and $T_y\Gamma$ are both equal to a constant $\pi\rho$. The main result of [7] (see also [10]) is that there exists such a curve, other than a circle, if and only if equation (2) holds. If the angle is equal to $\pi/2$ then Γ is again a curve of constant width.

Bicycle curves considered here, and the curves studied in [9] and [7], give rise, via duality, to three other classes of smooth convex curves of interest. The precise notion of duality is the spherical one, namely, the correspondence “pole–equator” between points of the unit sphere and oriented great circles (see, e.g., [2] or [10]). If x and y are two points and a and b are the corresponding great circles, then the spherical distance between x and y equals the angle between a and b . As usual, the duality extends from points and lines to smooth curves.




Figure 3. Bicycle curve and its dual curve

A curve Γ , dual to a bicycle curve, has the following property: a pair of points x, y can traverse Γ so that the distance between x and y along the curve and the angle between the tangent lines $T_x\Gamma$ and $T_y\Gamma$ do not change; see Figure 3. Likewise, the curves Γ , dual to the curves studied in [7], are characterized by the property: a pair of points x, y can traverse Γ so that the distances from points x and y to the intersection point of the tangent lines $T_x\Gamma$ and $T_y\Gamma$ remain equal to the same constant. Finally, the curves Γ , dual to the curves studied in [9], are characterized by the property: a pair of points x, y can traverse Γ so that the distance from point x to the intersection point of the tangent lines $T_x\Gamma$ and $T_y\Gamma$ and the angle between these tangent lines do not change. A common example for all three classes is, of course, a circle; are there other examples? These three classes of curves can be defined in geometries, other than the spherical one, for example, in the Euclidean plane, and their study is an interesting problem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I was introduced to tire track geometry by S. Wagon. I have benefited from discussions with M. Bialy, R. Connelly, V. Cyr, D. Genin,

M. Ghomi, E. Gutkin, M. Levi, L. Montejano, A. Petrunin, D. Vaintrob; I am grateful to them all.

2. Formulæ for curvature

Consider two smooth curves γ and Γ related as in (1). Let t and x be the arc length parameters on γ and Γ , respectively; the correspondence between the two curves is given by

$$(3) \quad \Gamma(x(t)) = \gamma(t) + L\gamma_t(t).$$

Let k and κ be the curvatures of γ and Γ . Denote by $\alpha(t)$ the angle between the tangent vectors to the curves at the respective points $\gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma(x(t))$.

LEMMA 2.1: *One has*

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha(t) < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}, \quad k = \frac{\tan \alpha}{L}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \kappa = \frac{\sin \alpha}{L} + \frac{d\alpha}{dx}.$$

Proof: Since t is the arc length parameter on γ , the vector γ_{tt} has magnitude k and is orthogonal to γ_t . Differentiating (3), one finds

$$(5) \quad \Gamma_t = \gamma_t + L\gamma_{tt},$$

and hence $\Gamma_t \cdot \gamma_t = 1$. It follows that $\cos \alpha(t) > 0$ for all t . It also follows from (5) that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} = |\Gamma_t| = \sqrt{1 + L^2 k^2};$$

hence $Lk = \tan \alpha$ and $dx/dt = 1/\cos \alpha$.

One has

$$(7) \quad \kappa = \frac{\Gamma_t \times \Gamma_{tt}}{|\Gamma_t|^3}.$$

Since t is the arc length parameter on γ , one has $\gamma_{ttt} = (k_t/k)\gamma_{tt} - k^2\gamma_t$. Differentiating (5), one finds $\Gamma_{tt} = \gamma_{tt} + L(k_t/k)\gamma_{tt} - Lk^2\gamma_t$. Substitute into (7) and use $\gamma_t \times \gamma_{tt} = k$ to obtain

$$(8) \quad \kappa = \frac{k + Lk_t + L^2k^3}{(1 + L^2k^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{\sin \alpha}{L} + \alpha_t \cos \alpha = \frac{\sin \alpha}{L} + \alpha_x.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark 2.2: As we mentioned in Introduction, one needs to consider a wider class of curves γ , namely, wave fronts. The direction of γ changes to the opposite in a cusp; the curvature k at a cusp becomes infinite and changes sign. At a cusp point, $\alpha = \pm\pi/2$, and formula (4) still holds.

Let γ and Γ be closed rear and front bicycle tire tracks, that is, closed smooth curves related by (3). Lemma 2.1 implies that the rear track is always shorter than the front one.

COROLLARY 2.3: *One has*

$$0 < \text{length } \Gamma - \text{length } \gamma < L \int_{\gamma} |k| dt.$$

In particular, if γ is convex then

$$\text{length } \Gamma - \text{length } \gamma < 2\pi L.$$

Proof: One has

$$0 \leq \sqrt{1 + L^2 k^2} - 1 \leq L|k|.$$

Integrate, using (6), to obtain non-strict inequalities. Since $|k| > 0$ on an open interval, both inequalities are strict. For a convex curve, $k \geq 0$ and $\int k dt = 2\pi$.

■

The difference between the lengths of the rear and front tire tracks may be arbitrarily small: if γ is a circle of radius R then

$$\text{length } \Gamma - \text{length } \gamma = 2\pi(\sqrt{R^2 + L^2} - R) < \pi L^2/R,$$

which is small for large R .

Now consider the curve $\bar{\Gamma} = \gamma - L\gamma_t$, and let $\beta(t)$ be the angle between the tangent vectors to γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ at the respective points. Up to orientation reversing, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the trajectory of the front wheel when the rear one traverses γ in the opposite direction; see Figure 4.

LEMMA 2.4: *One has $|\bar{\Gamma}_t| = |\Gamma_t|$ and $\beta(t) = \alpha(t)$. The orientation of the frames $(\bar{\Gamma}_t, \gamma_t)$ and (γ_t, Γ_t) coincide. The curvature of $\bar{\Gamma}$ is given by the formula*

$$(9) \quad \kappa = \frac{\sin \alpha}{L} - \frac{d\alpha}{dx},$$

where x is the arc length parameter on $\bar{\Gamma}$.




Figure 4. Curves Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$

Proof: The computations of Lemma 2.1, with L replaced by $-L$, yield the formula for $|\bar{\Gamma}_t|$. Next, $\bar{\Gamma}_t = \gamma_t - L\gamma_{tt}$, therefore $\bar{\Gamma}_t \cdot \gamma_t = 1$, and hence $\cos \beta = \cos \alpha$. On the other hand, $\gamma_t \times \bar{\Gamma}_t = -\gamma_t \times \Gamma_t$, whence the statement concerning the orientations. The computation of κ proceeds as in Lemma 2.1, with α replaced by $-\alpha$, reflecting the orientation, and L by $-L$. ■

Now let (γ, Γ) be an ambiguous pair of curves; then the curves Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ coincide. Let $x = \gamma - L\gamma_t$ and $y = \gamma + L\gamma_t$. One has the following corollary of Lemma 2.4.

COROLLARY 2.5: *The distance between points x and y along the curve Γ remains constant and the segment xy makes equal angles with Γ .*

Let $\Gamma(x)$ be a smooth convex bicycle curve with the rotation number ρ and the chord of length $2L$, parameterized by arc length. Set $\omega = \pi\rho$. Then the length of the arc of Γ subtended by each chord of length $2L$ equals 2ω .² As before, let $\alpha(x)$ be the angle between the segment $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + 2\omega)$ and the curve Γ at points $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma(x + 2\omega)$ (the angles are equal by Lemma 2.5).

THEOREM 1: *For every $x \in [0, 2\pi]$, one has*

$$(10) \quad \sin \alpha(x + \omega) - \sin \alpha(x - \omega) = L(\alpha'(x + \omega) + \alpha'(x - \omega)).$$

² One has $L \leq \sin \omega$, with equality only for a circle. This follows from [1] where it is proved that the average length of the chord subtended by an arc of a fixed length is not greater than that for a circle.

Proof: By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.1, the curvatures of Γ at points $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma(x+2\omega)$ are

$$(11) \quad \kappa(x) = \frac{\sin \alpha(x)}{L} - \alpha'(x), \quad \kappa(x+2\omega) = \frac{\sin \alpha(x)}{L} + \alpha'(x),$$

where prime denotes d/dx . Combining these formulas and shifting x by ω yields (10).

Alternatively, compute the total curvature of the arc $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x+2\omega)$. On the one hand, the total turn of this arc is $2\alpha(x)$; on the other hand, due to (9), it is equal to

$$\int_x^{x+2\omega} k(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{L} \int_x^{x+2\omega} \sin \alpha(\tau) d\tau - \alpha(x+2\omega) + \alpha(x).$$

Hence

$$L(\alpha(x+2\omega) + \alpha(x)) = \int_x^{x+2\omega} \sin \alpha(\tau),$$

and (10) follows by differentiation. ■

By equation (4), a constant solution to (10) corresponds to the unit circle. We are led to the following problem.

PROBLEM 4: *To describe smooth functions $\alpha(x)$ on the circle $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ satisfying equation (10).*

3. Constructing bicycle curves with the rotation number $1/2$

Consider an oriented segment of a fixed length $2L$ in a Euclidean space, characterized by its midpoint x and the unit vector along the segment v . Let $x(t), v(t)$ be smooth functions describing motion of the segment.

LEMMA 3.1: *The endpoints of the segment have equal speeds if and only if $x' \cdot v' = 0$. In the plane case, $x' \cdot v' = 0$ if and only if either $v' = 0$ or x' is collinear with v .*

Proof: The endpoints are the vectors $x \pm Lv$ and their velocities are $x' \pm Lv'$. These two vectors have equal magnitudes if and only if $x' \cdot v' = 0$. Since v is a unit vector, $v' \cdot v = 0$. Thus x' and v are perpendicular to v' ; if $v' \neq 0$ then, in the plane case, x' and v are collinear. ■

COROLLARY 3.2: *If a segment of a fixed length is moving in the plane with non-zero angular speed in such a way that its endpoints have equal speeds, then it remains tangent to the trajectory of its midpoint.*

Proof: Since the angular speed does not vanish, $v' \neq 0$. Hence x' and v are collinear, as claimed. ■

The envelope γ of the moving segments, i.e., the trajectory of its midpoint, may have singularities, that is, be a wave front.

We are ready to construct a convex smooth plane closed bicycle curve Γ with the rotation number $1/2$. The chords of Γ bisecting the perimeter will have a fixed length and envelop a wave front γ . In terms of the bicycle problem, Γ is the tire track of the front and γ that of the rear wheel.




Figure 5. Bicycle curve with the rotation number $1/2$

THEOREM 2: *Let γ be a closed plane front with an odd number of cusps, total rotation π and without inflections (see Figure 5). Let the midpoint of a segment of length $2L$ traverse γ so that the segment remains tangent to γ . Then, for L large enough, the endpoints of the segment traverse a convex bicycle curve Γ .*

Proof: When the midpoint of the segment traverses γ , its endpoints describe one half of the curve Γ each. Since the total rotation of γ is π , these two halves

join smoothly to make a closed curve Γ . By Lemma 3.1, the endpoints move with equal speeds, and hence the segment bisects the perimeter length of Γ .

To prove that, for L large enough, Γ is convex, let us, instead of increasing L , rescale γ by a small factor λ . The curvature of γ and its derivative with respect to the arc length parameter scale as follows: $k \mapsto \lambda^{-1}k, k_t \mapsto \lambda^{-2}k_t$. By formula (8), the curvature κ of Γ scales as follows:

$$(12) \quad \frac{k + Lk_t + L^2k^3}{(1 + L^2k^2)^{3/2}} \mapsto \frac{\lambda^{-1}k + \lambda^{-2}Lk_t + \lambda^{-3}L^2k^3}{(1 + \lambda^{-2}L^2k^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{\lambda^2k + \lambda Lk_t + L^2k^3}{(\lambda^2 + L^2k^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Since γ has no inflections, $k \neq 0$, and (12) tends to $1/L$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, for λ small enough, (12) is positive. ■

Remark 3.3: Conversely, it is easy to show that the envelope of the segments that bisect the perimeter length of a smooth closed convex plane curve has total rotation π , an odd number of cusps and no inflections.

Remark 3.4: The functions $\alpha(x)$, corresponding to the curves of Theorem 2, satisfy $\alpha(x - \pi/2) + \alpha(x + \pi/2) = \pi$. Such functions provide solutions to equation (10) with $\omega = \pi/2$ and arbitrary L .

4. Restrictions on the number of vertices and on the rotation number

Assume that Γ is a smooth closed convex plane bicycle curve. The next result shows that if Γ is not a circle, then the rotation number ρ cannot be too small. We continue to use the same notation as above, in particular, $\omega = \pi\rho$.

THEOREM 3: *Every segment of Γ of length 2ω contains a vertex.*

Proof: Assume that an arc from $\Gamma(x - \omega)$ to $\Gamma(x + \omega)$ has a non-decreasing and non-constant curvature. By a Vogt theorem [6], the angle made by the segment $\Gamma(x - \omega)\Gamma(x + \omega)$ with the curve Γ at point $\Gamma(x - \omega)$ is less than that at point $\Gamma(x + \omega)$. This contradicts Lemma 2.4. ■

Thus $2\pi\rho$ is not smaller than the maximal distance between consecutive vertices along Γ . Here is another restriction on a bicycle curve Γ in terms of vertices.

THEOREM 4: *Γ has at least 6 vertices.*

Proof: Let x be a critical point of the function α . By formulas (11), the curvatures at points $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma(x + 2\omega)$ are equal. Moreover, it follows from

elementary geometry that the centers of curvature at these points coincide; see Figure 6. Thus the circles of curvature at two distinct points of Γ coincide. By a Fabricius–Bjerre theorem [6], Γ has at least 6 vertices. ■




Figure 6. Centers of curvature at $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma(x + 2\omega)$ coincide

Recall that Theorem 2 provides a variety of bicycle curves with the rotation number $1/2$. In contrast, we have the next two results.

THEOREM 5: *If the rotation number equals $1/3$ then Γ is a circle.*

Proof: For every $x \in [0, 2\pi]$, the triangle $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + 2\pi/3)\Gamma(x + 4\pi/3)$ is equilateral. Let $\alpha(x), \alpha(x + 2\pi/3), \alpha(x + 4\pi/3)$ be the respective angles between the chords and the curve Γ . The total rotation angle of Γ is

$$2(\alpha(x) + \alpha(x + 2\pi/3) + \alpha(x + 4\pi/3)) = 2\pi,$$

hence

$$\alpha(x) + \alpha(x + 2\pi/3) + \alpha(x + 4\pi/3) = \pi.$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha(x) + \alpha(x + 2\pi/3) = 2\pi/3,$$

since the angles $\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha(x + 2\pi/3)$ sum up to π with an interior angle of an equilateral triangle. Likewise,

$$\alpha(x + 2\pi/3) + \alpha(x + 4\pi/3) = 2\pi/3, \quad \alpha(x + 4\pi/3) + \alpha(x) = 2\pi/3,$$

therefore $\alpha(x) = \pi/3$ for all x . It follows from formula (4) that the curvature of Γ is constant, thus it is a circle. ■

THEOREM 6: *If the rotation number equals $1/4$ then Γ is a circle.*

Proof: For every x , the quadrilateral $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + \pi/2)\Gamma(x + \pi)\Gamma(x + 3\pi/2)$ is a rhombus. Let $\alpha(x), \alpha(x + \pi/2), \alpha(x + \pi), \alpha(x + 3\pi/2)$ be the respective angles between the chords and the curve Γ . As before,

$$2(\alpha(x) + \alpha(x + \pi/2) + \alpha(x + \pi) + \alpha(x + 3\pi/2)) = 2\pi.$$

Since the opposite angles of a rhombus are equal, one has

$$\alpha(x) + \alpha(x + \pi/2) = \alpha(x + \pi) + \alpha(x + 3\pi/2)$$

and

$$\alpha(x + \pi/2) + \alpha(x + \pi) = \alpha(x + 3\pi/2) + \alpha(x).$$

It follows that

$$(13) \quad \alpha(x) + \alpha(x + \pi/2) = \pi/2,$$

and hence the rhombus is a square. Therefore, the right hand side of equation (10) vanishes, and hence $\sin \alpha(x + \pi/2) = \sin \alpha(x)$. In view of (13), $\alpha(x) = \pi/4$, and by formula (4), Γ is a circle. ■

5. Infinitesimal deformations of a circle: mode locking

Consider a bicycle curve obtained from a circle by an infinitesimal deformation.

THEOREM 7: *The unit circle admits a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation as a smooth closed plane bicycle curve of perimeter 2π and rotation number ρ if and only if ρ is a root of the equation*

$$(14) \quad n \tan(\pi\rho) = \tan(n\pi\rho)$$

for some integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof: Let $\Gamma_0(x) = (\cos x, \sin x)$ be the unit circle and $v(x)$ be a vector field along Γ_0 . Consider the infinitesimal deformation $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_0(x) + \varepsilon v(x)$, and let it be arc length parameterized as well. Since $\Gamma'(x) = \Gamma'_0(x) + \varepsilon v'(x)$, arc length parameterization is equivalent to $\Gamma'_0(x) \cdot v'(x) = 0$ for all x . Thus $(-\sin x, \cos x) \cdot v'(x) = 0$. It follows that $v'(x) = g(x)(\cos x, \sin x)$ for some function g . Note that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} v'(x) dx = 0,$$

hence $g(x)$ is orthogonal to the first harmonics. The differential operator $1 + d^2$ “kills” the first harmonics and is a linear isomorphism on the space spanned by all other harmonics. Hence $g(x) = f(x) + f''(x)$ for some function f . Since $1 + d^2$ annihilates the first harmonics, we assume, without loss of generality, that $f(x)$ is orthogonal to the first harmonics as well.

One has

$$v(x) = \int_0^x (f(\tau) + f''(\tau))(\cos \tau, \sin \tau) d\tau,$$

and integration by parts twice gives

$$(15) \quad v(x) = (f(x) \sin x + f'(x) \cos x + c_1, -f(x) \cos x + f'(x) \sin x + c_2).$$

After a parallel translation, one may set $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. Note also that if f is a constant, then the corresponding deformation v is an infinitesimal rotation of the circle. Thus, without loss of generality, we assume that f has zero average.

The lengths of the arcs subtended by the chords of Γ of constant length is $2\omega = 2\pi\rho$. Let this chord length be $L = 2(\sin \omega + \varepsilon c)$. Then

$$|\Gamma(x + \omega) - \Gamma(x - \omega)| = 2(\sin \omega + \varepsilon c),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\Gamma_0(x + \omega) - \Gamma_0(x - \omega)) \cdot (v(x + \omega) - v(x - \omega)) = 4c \sin \omega.$$

A direct computation using (15) yields

$$(f'(x + \omega) + f'(x - \omega)) \sin \omega - (f(x + \omega) - f(x - \omega)) \cos \omega = 2c.$$

The left hand side has zero integral over $[0, 2\pi]$, hence $c = 0$. One obtains

$$(16) \quad (f'(x + \omega) + f'(x - \omega)) \sin \omega = (f(x + \omega) - f(x - \omega)) \cos \omega.$$

Let

$$f(\phi) = \sum_{|n| \geq 2} a_n e^{in\phi}, \quad \bar{a}_n = a_{-n}$$

be the Fourier expansion of f . Equation (16) is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad a_n(n \cos(n\omega) \sin \omega - \cos \omega \sin(n\omega)) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 2$. The Fourier coefficient a_n may be non-zero only if

$$n \cos(n\omega) \sin \omega = \cos \omega \sin(n\omega),$$

which is equivalent to (14).

Conversely, if (14) holds for some $n \geq 2$, one may choose $f(x)$ to be a pure n -th harmonic, say $\sin(nx)$. Then the infinitesimal deformation of the unit circle given by (15) is a bicycle curve. ■

Remark 5.1: Similarly, one may consider infinitesimal deformations of the constant solution $\alpha(x) = \omega$ with $L = \sin \omega$ of equation (10). Such a deformation exists also if and only if

$$(18) \quad n \tan \omega = \tan(n\omega)$$

for some $n \geq 1$. This does not exclude $n = 1$, in which case (18) trivially holds for all ω . Indeed, a constant solution of equation (1) admits infinitesimal deformations by the first harmonics; however, such deformations do not correspond to closed plane curves.

Remark 5.2: It was shown by V. Cyr that equation (14) has no rational roots $\rho \in \mathbf{Q}$; in particular, neither $\rho = 1/3$ nor $\rho = 1/4$ satisfy (14); cf. Theorems 5 and 6. Equation (14) has solutions for infinitely many values of n . The smallest is $n = 4$ for which $\rho = \arctan(\sqrt{5})/\pi$.

Remark 5.3: Note that the roots of equation (18) are the critical points of the function $\sin(nx)/\sin x$.

6. Infinitesimal deformations of bicycle curves with rotation number $1/2$

Consider a solution of equation (10) satisfying $\alpha(x + \pi) = \pi - \alpha(x)$; such a solution corresponds to a bicycle curve from Theorem 2 with the rotation number $1/2$. Write $\alpha(x) = \pi/2 + \beta(x)$; then β is an odd function. In this section we study infinitesimal deformations of such solutions.

As the parameter of deformation, we use the change in the rotation number; more precisely, let $\rho = 1/2 - \varepsilon/\pi$. Then $\omega = \pi/2 - \varepsilon$. Let the deformed function be $\beta(x) + \varepsilon f(x)$. Without loss of generality, assume that f is an even function (the odd part of f does not change the rotation number and can be incorporated into β). Let the deformed half-length of the chord be $L + \varepsilon l$.

THEOREM 8: *There exists an infinitesimal deformation as above if and only if the function $\beta(x)$ satisfies the differential equation*

$$(19) \quad L^2 \beta'' = (C - \cos \beta) \sin \beta,$$

where L is as in (10), C is a constant, and the respective function $f(x)$ is given by

$$(20) \quad Lf = C - \cos \beta.$$

Proof: Let x_{\pm} stand for $x \pm \pi/2$. Then equation (10) can be rewritten as

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \cos(\beta(x_+ - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f(x_+ - \varepsilon)) - \cos(\beta(x_- + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f(x_- + \varepsilon)) \\ &= (L + \varepsilon l)(\beta'(x_+ - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f'(x_+ - \varepsilon) + \beta'(x_- + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f'(x_- + \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

We compute modulo ε^2 . Then

$$\cos(\beta(x_+ - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f(x_+ - \varepsilon)) = \cos \beta(x_+) + \varepsilon(\beta'(x_+) - f(x_+)) \sin \beta(x_+)$$

and

$$\cos(\beta(x_- + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon f(x_- + \varepsilon)) = \cos \beta(x_-) - \varepsilon(\beta'(x_-) + f(x_-)) \sin \beta(x_-).$$

One also has

$$\beta'(x_+ - \varepsilon) = \beta'(x_+) - \varepsilon\beta''(x_+), \quad \beta'(x_- + \varepsilon) = \beta'(x_-) + \varepsilon\beta''(x_-).$$

Recall that β is an odd and f is an even function, hence $\beta(x_-) = -\beta(x_+)$ and $f(x_-) = f(x_+)$. Substitute into (21) and equate the terms, linear in ε , to obtain

$$(22) \quad (\beta'(x) - f(x)) \sin \beta(x) = L(f'(x) - \beta''(x)),$$

where we replaced x_+ simply by x . Equate even and odd parts in (22):

$$(23) \quad \beta' \sin \beta = Lf', \quad f \sin \beta = L\beta''.$$

The first equation in (23) implies $Lf = C - \cos \beta$. Substitute f into the second equation in (23) to obtain the differential equation (19) on β . ■

Remark 6.1: Equation (19) can be solved in elliptic integrals; we do not dwell on this.

Theorem 8 implies that the bicycle curves from Theorem 2 with the rotation number $1/2$ almost never admit infinitesimal deformations changing the rotation number. In particular, one has the following corollary.

COROLLARY 6.2: *Consider a circle as a bicycle curve with the rotation number $1/2$. Then its every infinitesimal deformation changing the rotation number yields also a circle.*

Proof: For a circle, $\beta(x) \equiv 0$. It follows from (20) that $f(x)$ is constant. By (4), the curvature of the deformed curve is also constant, therefore it is a circle.

■

7. Bicycle polygons

We start with a polygonal analog of Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 7.1: *Let P be a plane bicycle (n, k) -gon. Then, for every i , either the vectors $V_{i+1} - V_i$ and $V_{i+k+1} - V_{i+k}$ are equal, and then the quadrilateral $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+k} V_{i+k+1}$ is a parallelogram, or the quadrilateral $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+k} V_{i+k+1}$ is an isosceles trapezoid with the parallel sides $V_i V_{i+k+1}$ and $V_{i+1} V_{i+k}$. If P is convex then only the latter case is possible.*

Proof: The triangles $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+k}$ and $V_{i+1} V_{i+k} V_{i+k+1}$ are congruent since they have equal corresponding sides. If the segments $V_i V_{i+k}$ and $V_{i+1} V_{i+k+1}$ do not intersect then one has the first case of the lemma, and if they do one has the second case; see Figure 7. Clearly, only the latter agrees with convexity of the polygon.




Figure 7. Two cases in Lemma 7.1

The next theorem provides some rigidity results on convex bicycle polygons.

THEOREM 9: *In the following cases every convex bicyclic (n, k) -gon is regular:*

- (1) n arbitrary and $k = 2$;
- (2) n odd and $k = 3$;
- (3) k arbitrary and $n = 2k + 1$;
- (4) k arbitrary and $n = 3k$.




Figure 8. Case of $(n, 3)$ -gon

Proof: If $k = 2$ then the triangles $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+2}$ are congruent for all i , and therefore all angles of the polygon P are equal. Hence P is regular.

Let $k = 3$. Consider 5 consecutive vertices of P . Lemma 7.1 implies that the pentagon $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+2} V_{i+3} V_{i+4}$ has an axis of symmetry passing through vertex V_{i+2} ; see Figure 8. It follows that the angles at vertices V_{i+1} and V_{i+3} are equal. This holds for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If n is odd, this implies that all angles are equal, and hence P is regular.

Let $n = 2k + 1$. Then the triangles $V_{i-1} V_i V_{i+k}$ are congruent for all i : two of their sides are k -diagonals and the base is a side of the polygon P . Let α be the angle at the base of this triangle. The vertices $V_{i-1}, V_i, V_{i+1}, V_{i+k}$ and V_{i+k+1} span three such triangles, and the angle $V_{i-1} V_i V_{i+1}$ is equal to $4\alpha - \pi$; see Figure 9. Thus all angles of P are equal and P is regular.

Finally, consider the case of $n = 3k$. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.2: *Given two congruent equally oriented equilateral triangles such that the distances between the corresponding vertices are equal, one is obtained from another either by a parallel translation or by a rotation about its center.*




Figure 9. Case of $(2k + 1, k)$ -gon

Proof: Identify the plane with \mathbf{C} and assume, without loss of generality, that the first triangle is $(1, q, q^2)$, where q is a cube root of 1. The motion that takes the 1-st triangle to the 2-nd is given by $z \mapsto uz + v$, where $|u| = 1$. Then one has

$$|u - 1 + v| = |uq - q + v| = |uq^2 - q^2 + v|,$$

and hence $(1 - u)$ is equidistant from the points v, qv, q^2v . Either $v = 0$, and the motion is a rotation about the origin, or $1 - u = 0$, and the motion is a parallel translation. ■

Now we will apply Lemma 7.2 to a bicycle $3k$ -gon P . Each triangle $V_iV_{i+k}V_{i+2k}$ is equilateral. Consider the triangle $V_{i+1}V_{i+k+1}V_{i+2k+1}$. By Lemma 7.2 and since P is convex, the second triangle is obtained from the first by a rotation about its center. For all i , these rotations have a common center and equal angles since the sides of P are all equal. It follows that P is a regular polygon. ■

Remark 7.3: The last case of Theorem 9 can be viewed as a polygonal analog of Theorem 5.

Remark 7.4: If one relaxes the convexity condition on P , then rigidity in Theorem 9 does not hold anymore. For example, consider a closed n -gon on

graph paper with unit sides and all right angles. Clearly, there is an abundance of such polygons and they are bicycle $(n, 2)$ -gons since their 2-diagonals have length $\sqrt{2}$; see Figure 10.




Figure 10. Nonconvex bicycle $(n, 2)$ -gon

Next we provide some examples of flexible convex bicycle polygons.

THEOREM 10: *For k odd and n even, there exists a 1-parameter family of non-congruent bicycle (n, k) -gons.*

Proof: Start with a regular $n/2$ -gon. Attach to all sides congruent isosceles triangles to obtain an n -gon with equal sides; the altitude of the triangles is a parameter of the construction. For every odd $k \leq n/2$, the resulting polygon is a bicycle (n, k) -gon since all k -diagonals are congruent by a symmetry of the original regular $n/2$ -gon; see Figure 11 for $(6, 3)$ - and $(8, 3)$ -gons. ■




Figure 11. Flexible bicycle polygons

Remark 7.5: Bicycle polygons of Theorem 10 may have the rotation number $1/4$. Thus there is no polygonal analog of Theorem 6.

Remark 7.6: Let P be a convex plane bicycle $(2n, n)$ -gon. According to Lemma 7.1, $V_i V_{i+1} V_{i+n} V_{i+n+1}$ is an isosceles trapezoid. Consider the circumscribed circle of this trapezoid and replace the sides $V_i V_{i+1}$ and $V_{i+n} V_{i+n+1}$ by arcs of this circle; see Figure 12. After this is done for all i , one obtains a piece-wise circular bicycle curve with the rotation number $1/2$. Unless P is regular, this curve is not differentiable; if P is regular, the curve is a circle. This construction is due to A. Petrunin.




Figure 12. Rotating chord within one trapezoid

Remark 7.7: Up to isometries of the plane, the space of n -gons with unit sides is $(n - 3)$ -dimensional. If $k < n/2$, then the condition that all k -diagonals are equal provides $n - 1$ relations, and one has an overdetermined system of equations. However, if $k = n/2$, then there are only $n/2 - 1$ relations and one expects a variety of bicycle $(2k, k)$ -gons.

8. Infinitesimal deformations of regular polygons

This section is a polygonal analog of Section 5: we consider infinitesimal deformations of regular polygons in the class of bicycle polygons. The main result is as follows.

THEOREM 11: *A regular n -gon admits a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation as a bicycle (n, k) -gon if and only if*

$$(24) \quad \tan\left(kr \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \tan\left(k \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tan\left(r \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$$

for some $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$.

Proof: It will be convenient to denote the angle π/n by ϕ . Consider a regular n -gon P whose vertices are

$$V_i = \left(\cos(2i\phi), \sin(2i\phi) \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

An infinitesimal deformation of P is given by a collection of vectors U_i so that the vertices of the deformed polygon P_ε are $V_i + \varepsilon U_i$. As usual, all the computations are modulo ε^2 , and the indices are understood cyclically.

The polygon P_ε has unit sides

$$|V_{i+1} - V_i + \varepsilon(U_{i+1} - U_i)| = 1$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, which is equivalent to

$$(V_{i+1} - V_i) \cdot (U_{i+1} - U_i) = 0.$$

Since

$$V_{i+1} - V_i = 2 \sin \phi (-\sin((2i+1)\phi), \cos((2i+1)\phi))$$

one has

$$U_{i+1} - U_i = t_i (\cos((2i+1)\phi), \sin((2i+1)\phi))$$

for some real t_i . Set

$$W_i = (\cos((2i+1)\phi), \sin((2i+1)\phi)).$$

Then

$$(25) \quad U_i = U_0 + t_0 W_0 + t_1 W_1 + \dots + t_{i-1} W_{i-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$(26) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i W_i = 0.$$

Note that adding the same vector to all U_i amounts to parallel translating P . Therefore, we may factor out parallel translations by assuming that $U_0 = 0$.

We can also factor out rotations about the origin. To this end, note that for an infinitesimal rotation,

$$U_i = c (-\sin(2i\phi), \cos(2i\phi))$$

where c is a constant, and therefore

$$U_{i+1} - U_i = C (\cos((2i+1)\phi), \sin((2i+1)\phi))$$

where C is another constant. Hence the rotations correspond to $t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_{n-1}$ in (25). To factor the rotations out we assume that

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i = 0.$$

Next, we consider the condition that all k -diagonals of P_ε are equal. As before, this is equivalent to the equations

$$(28) \quad (V_{i+k} - V_i) \cdot (U_{i+k} - U_i) = c,$$

where c is some constant. A direct computation yields

$$W_j \cdot (V_{i+k} - V_i) = 2 \sin(k\phi) \sin((2j + 1 - 2i - k)\phi)$$

and, by (25), equation (28) can be rewritten as

$$(29) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} t_{i+j} \sin((2j + 1 - k)\phi) = C,$$

where C is another constant. The sum of the left hand sides of (29) over $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ is zero, therefore $C = 0$. One finally obtains the system of linear equations on the variables t_i :

$$(30) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} t_{i+j} \sin((2j + 1 - k)\phi) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

along with (26) and (27). The matrix A of system (30) is

$$\begin{matrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_0 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_0 \end{matrix}$$

where $a_j = \sin((2j + 1 - k)\phi)$ for $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$ and $a_j = 0$ otherwise.

To study this system let $\xi = \exp(2\phi\sqrt{-1})$ be n -th primitive root of unity, and set

$$\theta_r = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \xi^{jr}, \quad r = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

Let B be the matrix $b_{ij} = \xi^{i(j-1)}$. Note that B is non-degenerate. The next lemma is verified by a direct computation that we omit.

LEMMA 8.1: *One has*

$$A = B^{-1}DB.$$

where

$$D = \text{Diag}(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-2}, \dots, \theta_0).$$

Next, we need the following result.

LEMMA 8.2: *One has*

$$(31) \quad 2\theta_r = \left(\frac{\sin(k(r+1)\phi)}{\sin((r+1)\phi)} - \frac{\sin(k(r-1)\phi)}{\sin((r-1)\phi)} \right) \exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - (k-1)r\phi\right)\right)$$

where, for $r = 1$ and $r = n - 1$, one has

$$\frac{\sin(k(r-1)\phi)}{\sin((r-1)\phi)} = k.$$

Proof: The real and imaginary parts of $2\theta_r$ are the following sums:

$$(32) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sin((2j+1-k-2rj)\phi) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sin((2j+1-k+2rj)\phi)$$

and

$$(33) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \cos((2j+1-k-2rj)\phi) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \cos((2j+1-k+2rj)\phi).$$

Let us use the identities

$$(34) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sin(\alpha + 2j\beta) = \frac{\sin(k\beta) \sin(\alpha + (k-1)\beta)}{\sin \beta}$$

and

$$(35) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \cos(\alpha + 2j\beta) = \frac{\sin(k\beta) \cos(\alpha + (k-1)\beta)}{\sin \beta},$$

where (34) and (35) are equal to $k \sin \alpha$ and $k \cos \alpha$, respectively, if $\sin \beta = 0$.

Apply (34) and (35) to (32) and (33) with an appropriate choice of α and β to find that (32) equals

$$\left(\frac{\sin(k(r+1)\phi)}{\sin((r+1)\phi)} - \frac{\sin(k(r-1)\phi)}{\sin((r-1)\phi)} \right) \sin((k-1)r\phi)$$

and (33) equals

$$\left(\frac{\sin(k(r+1)\phi)}{\sin((r+1)\phi)} - \frac{\sin(k(r-1)\phi)}{\sin((r-1)\phi)} \right) \cos((k-1)r\phi).$$

This is equivalent to the statement of the lemma. ■

Now we can complete the proof of the theorem. We are interested in the system of linear equations $(B^{-1}DB)\bar{t} = 0$, where $\bar{t} = (t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ satisfies (26) and (27). These two conditions are equivalent to

$$\bar{t} \cdot (1, \xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{n-1}) = 0, \quad \bar{t} \cdot (1, 1, \dots, 1) = 0,$$

that is, to the condition that the first and the last components of the vector $B\bar{t}$ vanish. Therefore, the dimension of the space of solutions of our system equals the number of zeros among the numbers $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-2}$.

By Lemma 8.2, this equals the number of $r = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ such that

$$(36) \quad \frac{\sin(k(r+1)\phi)}{\sin((r+1)\phi)} = \frac{\sin(k(r-1)\phi)}{\sin((r-1)\phi)}.$$

If $r = 1$, then (36) becomes $\sin(2k\phi) = k \sin(2\phi)$; according to Lemma 6 in [1] this has no solutions. By elementary trigonometry, for $r = 2, \dots, n - 2$, equation (36) can be rewritten as (24), and this completes the proof. ■

Remark 8.3: Recall that Theorem 10 provides 1-parameter families of bicycle (n, k) -gons for n even and k odd. For such values of n and k , equation (24) holds for $r = n/2$: indeed, $r\phi = \pi/2$ and $\tan(r\phi) = \tan(kr\phi) = \infty$. Likewise, if $n = 2k$, equation (24) holds for every odd r . Note a curious duality between k and r in (24).

9. Conclusion: problems and conjectures

In conclusion, let us formulate a number of open questions and conjectures on bicycle curves and polygons.

1. Are there non-circular smooth convex plane bicycle curves with the rotation numbers $\rho \neq 1/2$? Optimistic conjecture: such a curve exists if and only if ρ satisfies equation (2). Pessimistic conjecture: such curves do not exist at all.

2. Describe solutions to equation (10), other than constant functions and anti-periodic functions satisfying $\alpha(x + \pi) = \pi - \alpha(x)$ (with $\omega = \pi/2$)? Optimistic conjecture: for every ω , satisfying equation (18), there exists a solution obtained as a perturbation of a constant function.

3. Are there non-regular convex bicycle (n, k) -gons unless n is even and k is odd or $n = 2k$? Are these two cases the only ones in which equation (24) has a solution?

4. Find analogs of the results of this paper in the spherical and hyperbolic metrics.

5. Finally, investigate the three other geometric problems, mentioned at the end of Introduction.

ADDED IN PROOF: After the completion and submission of this paper, I learned about other works related to the problems discussed here. Problem 19 in the

Scottish Book [14], due to S. Ulam, asks whether a sphere is the only solid of uniform density that will float in equilibrium in every position. The 2-dimensional version of this problem was considered by Auerbach [11]. One of his main results is that this flotation problem is equivalent to our problem 2: in the terminology of the present paper, if a 2-dimensional body of uniform density floats in equilibrium in all positions then its boundary is a bicycle curve (the role of the rotation number is played by the density of the body). Auerbach also discusses non-round 2-dimensional bodies of density $1/2$ that float in equilibrium; they are bounded by the curves discussed in Section 3 above. In fact, such curves were described earlier by Zindler [16], and in [11] they are called Zindler curves.

Recent papers [12, 13] are also devoted to this flotation problem; in the terminology of the present paper, the main result of [12] is that there are no bicycle curves, other than circles, with rotation numbers $1/5$ and $2/5$ (in [12, 13], such curves with a rational rotation number are called Zindler carrousel). The proof in [12] is computer-assisted. An earlier work by Salkowski [15] claimed much more: in the terminology of the present paper, there are no bicycle curves, other than circles, with rational rotation numbers; in my opinion, the proof in [15] is not satisfactory.

References

- [1] A. Abrams, J. Cantarella, J. Fu, M. Ghomi and R. Howard, *Circles minimize most knot energies*, *Topology* **42** (2003), 381-394.
- [2] V. Arnold, *The geometry of spherical curves and quaternion algebra*, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **50** (1995), 1-68.
- [3] S. Dunbar, R. Bosman and S. Nooij, *The track of a bicycle back tire*, *Mathematics Magazine* **74** (2001), 273-287.
- [4] D. Finn, *Can a bicycle create a unicycle track?*, *College Mathematical Journal*, September, 2002.
- [5] D. Finn's, at www.rose-hulman.edu/~finn/research/bicycle/tracks.html
- [6] H. Guggenheimer, *Differential Geometry*, Dover, New York, 1977.
- [7] E. Gutkin, *Billiard tables of constant width and dynamical characterization of the circle*, *Penn. State Workshop Proc.*, Oct. 1993.
- [8] J. Konhauser, D. Velleman and S. Wagon, *Which way did the bicycle go? ... and other intriguing mathematical mysteries*, *Mathematical Association of America*, Washington DC, 1996.
- [9] M. Kovalev, *A characteristic property of a disc*, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* **152** (1980), 124-137.

- [10] S. Tabachnikov, *Billiards*, Société Mathématique de France, Montrouge, 1995.
- [11] H. Auerbach, *Sur un problème de M. Ulam concernant l'équilibre des corps flottants*, *Studia Mathematica* **7** (1938), 121–142.
- [12] J. Bracho, L. Montejano and D. Oliveros, *A classification theorem for Zindler carousels*, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems* **7** (2001), 367–384.
- [13] J. Bracho, L. Montejano and D. Oliveros, *Carousels, Zindler curves and floating body problem*, preprint.
- [14] R. Mauldin, *The Scottish Book, Mathematics from the Scottish Café*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981.
- [15] E. Salkowski, *Eine kennzeichnende Eigenschaft des Kreises*, *Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse* (1934), 57–62.
- [16] K. Zindler, *Über konvexe Gebilde II*, *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* **31** (1921), 25–57.